Available online @ https://jjem.jnnce.ac.in https:www.doi.org/10.37314/JJEM.SP0133 Indexed in International Scientific Indiexing (ISI) Impact factor: 1.395 for 2021-22 Published on: 08 December 2023

## NPAs and its Impact on Profitability A Empirical Study on Selected Indian Commercial Banks

Kiran.J\*1, Akhila K Mathew\*2, Amtul Moina Sultana \*3

1\*2\*3,Government First Grade College

1\* kiranbabu47@gmail.com, 2\*akhilam360@gmail.com, 3\*amtulmoina178@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT:**

Financial institutions will become engaged in the loan process with the intention of creating positive returns; nevertheless, they will end up with negative returns when borrowers fail to make periodic payments. This has a knock-on effect on financial institutions. This results in banks having a positive increase of NPAs and a negative growth of profit; hence, NPAs have a greater influence on bank profit, capital insufficiency, loss of shareholders' interests, and liquidity issues, which lead to the firm's collapse. In light of this, a research has been done to better understand the increase of non-performing assets (NPAs) in both public and private sector banks, as well as their effect. SBI, Punjab National Bank and Canara Bank were chosen as public sector samples. Secondary data has been gathered, and a comparison analysis has been performed.

Key words: NPAs, Profitability, Bank Performance.

## 1 Introduction:

Growing nonperforming loans or advances could impact banks' profits. Loans and advances that default are NPAs. NPAs render assets unproductive and obstruct bank capital recovery. The bank's interest rate is falling, but principle may be recovered. Decreased interest revenue affects a bank's profitability, but if primary capital isn't restored, its capital base may collapse Each can affect a bank's stability above a certain extent. The RBI defines nonperforming assets (NPAs) as assets having principal or interest payments past 90 days. The RBI considers standard, questionable, and lost assets NPAs. Poor assets are NPA for less than 12 months. Dubious assets are NPA assets over 12 months. Asset losses are known and not written off. Three asset classes make up bank NPAs. NPAs increase operating costs and lower interest margins, lowering bank profitability. Research demonstrates that high NPA banks have "carrying costs" that hurt profitability. Banks may lose money as non-performing assets (NPA) rise and drain operating profits. Thus, NPA carrying and provisioning expenses lower bank profits. Berger and Young examined bank efficiency and bad loans.

## 2 Literature Review:

Rahim Rekha Bhuyan, Ashok Rath (2013), The research "Management Perspective of Non-Performing Assets: A Challenge for Indian Banking Sector in the Post Economic Reform Era" examined NPA causes, size, and management in India. NPA ratios' public and private effects were examined. Secondary data, questionnaires, and inperson interviews were used to write the study. The report reveals Indian banks clients' creditworthiness assess decrease NPAs. The paper recommends creditworthiness to reduce NPAs. Yeruva Priyanka and Ch. Rajesh Kumar (2019) examined Scheduled Commercial Bank NPA patterns, growth, and reasons in "Non-performing Assets of Commercial Banks and its Recovery in India". The descriptive research used only secondary sources. To boost bank earnings, the research suggested the government to improve recovery management and NPA reduction. Amit Kumar (2014) investigated management and bank measures. Six years of RBI Website secondary data were utilised. Standard deviation, mean, t-test. Research suggests major advances boost NPAs. "Non-Performing Assets Recovery Channel: An Assessment of Securitization Act 2002"

by Divya Jain and Ravindra Kumar Saini (2015) evaluated the Act's recovery efficiency. The research investigated secondary data from many sources utilising standard deviation, ratio analysis, graphs, and tables. The investigation indicated Indian and global banks struggle to recover NPAs. Increased **NPAs** harm lending, profitability, solvency, and liquidity. RBI must intervene forcefully to stop this. "Non-Performing Assets: A Serious Challenge to Indian Banks" studied NPA causes and profitability in 2019.

## 3 Research Methodology

## **Objectives of the study:**

- To assess the level of NPAs in selected banks.
- To analyse the impact of NPA on profitability of selected banks.

## **RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS:**

**♦ Ho:** There is no significant impact of NPA on profitability.

**PERIOD OF STUDY:** Annual financial statement for five years of selected banks from 2019-2023 were selected for study.

**SOURCES OF DATA:** Data from Reserve Bank of India, Moneycontrol.com, and company annual reports.

- SAMPLE SIZE: The research examines how NPAs affect commercial banks including State Bank of India, Punjab National Bank, Canara Bank, HDFC Bank, and AXIS Bank.
- **❖** Analytical tools: Two approaches for assessing two variables' connection are correlation and regression. Simple regression is used to determine how NPA ratios impact commercial bank profitability.

4 DATA ANALYSIS: Table 1: Descriptive statistics of Profitability and NPA of SBI BANK & CANARA BANK.

	ROA_SB	ROCE_SB	ROE_SB	NET NPA _ SBI	GROSS NPA_SBI	ROA_CANB	ROCE_CANB	ROE_ CANB K	NET NPA _CANB K	GROSS NPA_CANB K
Observatio ns	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mean	0.5875	1.61	11.2225	1.355	4.47	0.24	6.764	3.062	3.558	7.766
Median	0.54	1.615	10.595	1.26	4.475	0.22	7.09	5.05	3.82	8.21
Std. deviation	0.2425	0.1525	4.3056	0.6753	1.4363	0.4099	6.288	6.3111	1.4103	1.4652
Skeweness	0.8992	-0.1894	0.6604	0.6796	-0.0174	0.016	0.1576	-1.0168	-0.089	-1.4903
Kurtosis	0.4242	0.9946	-0.8973	0.3666	-0.7685	-0.2936	-2.0862	1.1496	-0.7892	2.0992
Jarque- Bera	0.7114	0.236	0.5311	0.4129	0.1233	0.0182	0.9274	1.1369	0.1364	2.769

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of Profitability and NPA of Punjab National Bank & HDFC Bank.

	ROA_ PNB	ROCE_PN B	ROE_PN B	NET NPA_PN B	GROSS NPA_PNB	ROA_HDF C	ROCE_HDF	ROE_HDF C	NET NPA _HDFC	GRO SS NPA _HD FC
Observations	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mean	0.1967	1.6767	3.0167	4.4167	11.5333	0.965	1.885	9.465	0.56	1.145
Median	0.17	1.61	2.74	4.8	11.78	0.965	1.885	9.465	0.56	1.145
Std. deviation	0.0551	0.1514	0.7826	1.5412	2.7184	0.6086	1.133	5.7645	0.3653	0.661
Skeweness	1.668	1.5971	1.3921	-1.05	-0.405	0.2857	-1.2435	-0.3775	0.3801	0.226
Kurtosis	4.7074	-2.3079	4.7996	1.8712	0.5453	1.4008	-0.2015	1.1343	-0.2613	2.677
Jarque-Bera	6.9352	3.2353	6.414	1.6482	0.1986	0.4768	1.297	0.3868	0.1346	1.535

Table 3: Descriptive statistics of Profitability and NPA of ICICI BANK & AXIS Bank.

	ROA_ICI CI	ROCE_IC ICI	ROE_ICI CI	NET NPA_ICICI	GROSS NPA_ICI CI	ROA_A XIS	ROCE_A XIS	ROE_A XIS	NET NPA _AXIS	GROSS NPA_A XIS
Observatio ns	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mean	1.4225	2.99	12.0675	0.9475	4.225	1.04	2.1633	8.47	0.7233	2.8467
Median	1.48	3.01	12.575	0.95	4.28	1.11	2.22	7.63	0.73	2.82
Std. deviation	0.5487	0.2568	3.9381	0.4102	1.2431	0.2138	0.5671	2.5174	0.3301	0.8403

Skeweness	-0.5391	-0.387	-0.6322	-0.0261	-0.1589	-1.3155	-0.4451	1.3344	-0.0909	0.1427
Kurtosis	-2.6969	-2.4382	-1.8776	0.6531	0.5816	-0.3251	1.6784	1.7901	-1.0927	-1.9803
Jarque- Bera	1.7574	1.3633	1.0675	0.0894	0.0915	1.4642	0.752	2.1514	0.2556	0.834

Table 4: Cross Correlation between profitability and NPA of All banks.

PARTICULARS	NET NPA	Gross NPA	PARTICULARS	NET NPA	Gross NPA
PROA_SBI	-0.9243	-0.9747	ROA_HDFC	1	1
ROCE_SBI	0.7741	0.6794	ROCE_HDFC	1	1
ROE_SBI	-0.9445	-0.9852	ROE_HDFC	1	1
ROA_CANBK	-0.8269	-0.7647	ROA_ICICI	-0.9834	-0.9642
ROCE_CANBK	-0.9601	-0.8025	ROCE_ICICI	-0.7742	-0.7197
ROE_CANBK	-0.5907	-0.3511	ROE_ICICI	-0.9831	-0.9652
ROA_PNB	0.1259	-0.0122	ROA_AXIS	0.737	0.7059
ROCE_PNB	0.8206	0.8917	ROCE_AXIS	0.9976	0.9935
ROE_PNB	0.0047	-0.1334	ROE_AXIS	-0.2113	-0.2551

**Table (4)** Shows the correlation profitability ratios with Net NPA and Gross NPA with profitability ratios: SBI Bank has negative correlations for gross, net, and profitability ratios but positive correlations for return on capital used. Net and Gross NPA hurt Canara Bank earnings.Net, Gross, and Return on Capital Employed are positively connected at Punjab National Bank.Low positive association between ROA,ROE, and Net NPA. Low negative association between gross NPA, ROA,ROE.Net, Gross, and profitability ratios connect favourably for HDFC Bank.At ICICI Bank, net, gross, and profitability ratios are substantially adversely connected.Axis Bank. Except for ROE, Net and Gross NPA hurt profitability.

Table 5: Regression results of ROA and NET NPA of SBI:

Regression Statistics							
Multiple R 0.9698							
R Square	0.9405						
Adjusted R Square	0.9207						
Standard Error	0.0928						
Observations	5						
ANOVA							

					Significance
	df	SS	MS	F	F
Regression	1	0.4083	0.4083	47.4444	0.0063
Residual	3	0.0258	0.0086		
Total	4	0.4341			

		Upper	Lower	Upper				
	Coefficients	<b>Error</b>	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.0450	0.0927	11.2728	0.0015	0.7500	1.3401	0.7500	1.3401
X Variable 1	-0.3387	0.0492	-6.8880	0.0063	-0.4952	-0.1822	-0.4952	-0.1822

Table 6: Regression results of ROA and NET NPA of Canara Bank:

Regression Statistics							
Multiple R	0.8269						
R Square	0.6837						
Adjusted R Square	0.5783						
Standard Error	0.2662						
Observations	5						
ANOVA							

ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1.0000	0.4595	0.4595	6.4861	0.0842
Residual	3.0000	0.2125	0.0708		
Total	4	0.672			

\ <u>.</u>		Standard					Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.0950	0.3562	3.0742	0.0544	-0.0386	2.2286	-0.0386	2.2286
X Variable 1	-0.2403	0.0944	-2.5468	0.0842	-0.5406	0.0600	-0.5406	0.0600

Table 7: Regression results of ROA and NET NPA of Punjab National Bank:

Regression Statisti	cs
Multiple R	0.5775
R Square	0.3335
Adjusted R Square	0.1113
Standard Error	0.6105
Observations	5

ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	0.5594	0.5594	1.5010	0.3079
Residual	3	1.1182	0.3727		
Total	4	1.6776			

		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.1646	1.0914	1.0671	0.3642	-2.3087	4.6379	-2.3087	4.6379
X Variable 1	-0.2529	0.2065	-1.2251	0.3079	-0.9100	0.4041	-0.9100	0.4041

Table 8: Regression results of ROA and NET NPA of HDFC Bank:

Regression S	tatistics							
Multiple R	0.5585							
R Square	0.3119							
Adjusted R Square	0.0826							
Standard Error	0.3559							
Observations	5							
ANOVA	_							
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	1	0.1723	0.1723	1.3600	0.3278			
Residual	3	0.3800	0.1267					
Total	4	0.5523						
		Standard					Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.0303	0.3491	2.9516	0.0599	-0.0806	2.1412	-0.0806	2.1412
X Variable 1	-0.3129	0.2683	-1.1662	0.3278	-1.1667	0.5409	-1.1667	0.5409

Table 9: Regression results of ROA and NET NPA of ICICI Bank:

Regression Statistics	
Multiple R	0.9811
R Square	0.9625
Adjusted R Square	0.9500
Standard Error	0.1516
Observations	5
ANOVA	

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	1.7715	1.7715	77.0515	0.0031
Residual	3	0.0690	0.0230		
Total	4	1 8405			

		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	2.4797	0.1602	15.4820	0.0006	1.9700	2.9894	1.9700	2.9894
X Variable 1	-1.0886	0.1240	-8.7779	0.0031	-1.4833	-0.6940	-1.4833	-0.6940

Table 10: Regression results of ROA and NET NPA of AXIS Bank:

Regression State	istics
Multiple R	0.5631
R Square	0.3171
Adjusted R Square	0.0895
Standard Error	0.3854
Observations	5
ANOVA	

*	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	0.2070	0.2070	1.3932	0.3229
Residual	3	0.4456	0.1485		

Total	4	0.6526
Total	4	0.0320

Standard					Upper	Lower	Upper	
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.1871	0.3780	3.1403	0.0517	-0.0159	2.3901	-0.0159	2.3901
X Variable 1	-0.3429	0.2905	-1.1803	0.3229	-1.2675	0.5817	-1.2675	0.5817

# Table 11: Regression results of ROCE and NET NPA of SBI Bank:

Regression Sta	tistics				
Multiple R	0.6851				
R Square	0.4694				
Adjusted R Square	0.2926				
Standard Error	0.6157				
Observations	5_				
ANOVA					
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	1.0062	1.0062	2.6541	0.2018
Residual	3	1.1373	0.3791		
Total	4	2 1435			

		Standard					Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	2.1844	0.6153	3.5502	0.0381	0.2263	4.1425	0.2263	4.1425
X Variable 1	-0.5317	0.3264	-1.6292	0.2018	-1.5703	0.5069	-1.5703	0.5069

Table 12: Regression results of ROCE and NET NPA of CANARA Bank:

Regression Statis	stics
Multiple R	0.9601
R Square	0.9217
Adjusted R Square	0.8956
Standard Error	2.0314
Observations	5
ANOVA	

						Significance
	df		SS	MS	F	F
Regression		1	145.7778	145.7778	35.3279	0.0095
Residual		3	12.3793	4.1264		
Total		4	158.1571			

	Standard						Lower	Upper
다	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	21.9939	2.7186	8.0901	0.0039	13.3420	30.6458	13.3420	30.6458
X Variable 1	-4.2805	0.7202	-5.9437	0.0095	-6.5723	-1.9886	-6.5723	-1.9886

Table 13: Regression results of ROCE and NET NPA of PUNJAB NATIONAL Bank:

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.7040					
R Square	0.4955					
Adjusted R Square	0.3274					
Standard Error	0.0982					
Observations	5					
ANOVA						

<u> </u>						Significance
	df		SS	MS	F	F
Regression		1	0.0284	0.0284	2.9471	0.1845

Residual	3	0.0289	0.0096					
Total	4	0.0573						
<u> </u>		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.4143	0.1755	8.0584	0.0040	0.8558	1.9728	0.8558	1.9728
X Variable 1	0.0570	0.0332	1.7167	0.1845	-0.0487	0.1627	-0.0487	0.1627

Table 14: Regression results of ROCE and NET NPA of HDFC Bank:

Regression Statistics

Multiple R	0.6541							
R Square	0.4278							
Adjusted R Square	0.2371							
Standard Error	0.4042							
Observations	5							
ANOVA								
					Significance			
	df	SS	MS	F	F			
Regression	1	0.3666	0.3666	2.2433	0.2311			
Residual	3	0.4902	0.1634					
Total	4	0.8568						
		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.7815	0.3965	4.4933	0.0206	0.5197	3.0433	0.5197	3.0433
X Variable 1	0.4564	0.3047	1.4978	0.2311	-0.5133	1.4261	-0.5133	1.4261

Table 15: Regression results of ROCE and NET NPA of ICICI Bank:

Regression Sta	atistics
Multiple R	0.8860
R Square	0.7849
Adjusted R	
Square	0.7133
Standard Error	0.1639
Observations	5
ANOVA	

					Significance		
	df	SS	MS	$\boldsymbol{\mathit{F}}$	F		
Regression	1	0.2940	0.2940	10.9498	0.0454		
Residual	3	0.0805	0.0268				
Total	4	0.3745					
9.6		Standard				Upper	L
	Coefficients	Frror	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	Q <sup>4</sup>

Standard							Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	3.4149	0.1731	19.7302	0.0003	2.8640	3.9657	2.8640	3.9657
X Variable 1	-0.4435	0.1340	-3.3090	0.0454	-0.8700	-0.0170	-0.8700	-0.0170

Table 16: Regression results of ROCE and NET NPA of AXIS Bank:

Regression Sta	atistics
Multiple R	0.6938
R Square	0.4813
Adjusted R	
Square	0.3084
Standard Error	0.3876
Observations	5
ANOVA	

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	1	0.4181	0.4181	2.7836	0.1938			
Residual	3	0.4506	0.1502					
Total	4	0.8687						
		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	1.7636	0.3801	4.6397	0.0189	0.5539	2.9733	0.5539	2.9733
X Variable 1	0.4874	0.2921	1.6684	0.1938	-0.4423	1.4171	-0.4423	1.4171

Table 17: Regression results of ROE and NET NPA of SBI Bank:

Multiple R	0.9789
R Square	0.9583
Adjusted R	
Square	0.9444
Standard Error	1.4419
Observations	5
ANOVA	

						Significance
	df		SS	MS	F	F
Regression		1	143.2528	143.2528	68.9035	0.0037
Residual		3	6.2371	2.0790		
Total		4	149.4899			

Standard						Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	19.7521	1.4409	13.7082	0.0008	15.1665	24.3377	15.1665	24.3377
X Variable 1	-6.3441	0.7643	-8.3008	0.0037	-8.7763	-3.9118	-8.7763	-3.9118

Table 18: Regression results of ROE and NET NPA of CANARA Bank:

Regression Statistics							
Multiple R	0.5907						
R Square	0.3489						
Adjusted R Square	0.1319						
Standard Error	5.8803						
Observations	5						
ANOVA							

						Significance
	df		SS	MS	F	F
Regression		1	55.5863	55.5863	1.6076	0.2943
Residual		3	103.7344	34.5781		
Total		4	159.3207			

Standard						Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	12.4665	7.8698	1.5841	0.2113	-12.5787	37.5116	-12.5787	37.5116
X Variable 1	-2.6432	2.0847	-1.2679	0.2943	-9.2777	3.9913	-9.2777	3.9913

Table 19: Regression results of ROE and NET NPA of Punjab National Bank:

Regression Statistics							
Multiple R	0.5776						
R Square	0.3336						
Adjusted R Square	0.1115						
Standard Error	11.2726						
Observations	5						
ANOVA							

	df		SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression		1	190.8325	190.8325	1.5018	0.3078
Residual		3	381.2126	127.0709		

Total	4	572.0451						
•		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	20.9960	20.1516	1.0419	0.3740	-43.1354	85.1274	-43.1354	85.1274
X Variable 1	-4.6717	3.8122	-1.2255	0.3078	-16.8039	7.4604	-16.8039	7.4604

# Table 20: Regression results of ROE and NET NPA of HDFC BANK:

Regression Statistics							
Multiple R	0.4839						
R Square	0.2342						
Adjusted R Square	-0.0211						
Standard Error	3.3884						
Observations	5						
ANOVA							

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	10.5320	10.5320	0.9173	0.4088
Residual	3	34.4437	11.4812		
Total	4	44.9757			

Standard						Upper	Lower	Upper
50/-	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	9.6988	3.3233	2.9184	0.0616	-0.8775	20.2752	-0.8775	20.2752
X Variable 1	-2.4463	2.5542	-0.9578	0.4088	-10.5749	5.6822	-10.5749	5.6822

Table 21: Regression results of ROE and NET NPA of ICICI BANK:

Regression Statis	tics
Multiple R	0.9896
R Square	0.9793
Adjusted R Square	0.9723

Standard Error	0.8704
Observations	5
ANOVA	

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	107.3017	107.3017	141.6369	0.0013
Residual	3	2.2727	0.7576		
Total	4	109.5745			
		Standard			

N		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	20.2048	0.9194	21.9762	0.0002	17.2789	23.1308	17.2789	23.1308
X Variable 1	-8.4725	0.7119	-11.9011	0.0013	-10.7381	-6.2069	-10.7381	-6.2069

# Table 22: Regression results of ROE and NET NPA of AXIS BANK:

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.4839					
R Square	0.2342					
Adjusted R Square	-0.0211					
Standard Error	3.3884					
Observations	5					
ANOVA						

						Significance
	df		SS	MS	F	F
Regression		1	10.5320	10.5320	0.9173	0.4088
Residual		3	34.4437	11.4812		
Total		4	44.9757			

		Standard				Upper	Lower	Upper
	Coefficients	Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	95%	95.0%	95.0%
Intercept	9.6988	3.3233	2.9184	0.0616	-0.8775	20.2752	-0.8775	20.2752
X Variable 1	-2.4463	2.5542	-0.9578	0.4088	-10.5749	5.6822	-10.5749	5.6822

## 5. Findings:

\* Return on Assets on Net NPA: Table 5 illustrates that SBI's below-0.05 NET NPA hurts ROA. Net NPA reductions increase ROA. Table 6: Canara Bank's NET NPA improves ROA, although not much. Net NPA lowers ROA. Table 7 shows that Punjab National Bank's NET NPA boosts ROA, hence they are unrelated. Net NPA lowers ROA. Table 8 demonstrates no correlation between HDFC Bank's ROA and NET NPA, which exceeds 0.05. Net NPA lowers ROA. Table 9 reveals that ICICI Bank's NET NPA is below 0.05, hurting ROA. Net NPA reductions increase ROA. Table 10: ROA is above 0.05, hence AXIS Bank's NET NPA is unrelated. Net NPA lowers ROA.

❖ Return on Capital Employed on Net NPA: Table 11: SBI's NET NPA raises ROCE insignificantly. A smaller Net NPA suggests lesser ROCE.Table 12 shows Canara Bank's NET NPA below 0.05, decreasing ROCE. Net NPA decrease boosts ROCE.Table 13: Punjab National Bank's low NET NPA boosts ROCE. A smaller Net NPA suggests lesser ROCE. Table 14: Uncorrelated HDFC Bank NET NPA increases ROCE. A smaller Net NPA suggests lesser ROCE. Table 15 shows ICICI Bank's NET NPA below 0.05, ROCE. affecting Net NPA decrease boosts ROCE. Table 16 shows AXIS Bank's above-0.05 NET NPA increases ROCE. A smaller Net NPA suggests lesser ROCE.

# \* Return on Equity on Net NPA: Table 17 shows SBI Bank's NET NPA below 0.05, affecting ROE. Lowering Net NPA enhances ROE.Table 18 shows Canara

Bank's ROE and NET NPA are unrelated since both are over 0.05. A smaller Net NPA means poorer ROE. Table 19: Punjab National Bank's NET NPA reaches 0.05, boosting ROE. A smaller Net NPA means poorer ROE. Table 20 shows HDFC Bank's above-0.05 NET NPA increases ROE. A smaller Net NPA means poorer ROE. Table 21 shows that ICICI Bank's NET NPA is below 0.05, affecting ROE. Lowering Net NPA enhances ROE.Table 22 shows **ROE** increases since AXIS Bank's NET

- NPA is above 0.05. A smaller Net NPA means poorer ROE.
- **6. Conclusion :** A study has been carried out in order to evaluate the effects that nonperforming assets have on commercial banks. A key cause for concern for the Indian economy is the growing nonperforming assets (NPA) in Indian banks. Both the correlation and regression analyses revealed that the net nonperforming assets (NPA) of commercial banks have a detrimental effect their on profitability. Therefore, lower levels of net nonperforming assets (NPA) lead to higher levels of profitability (ROA, ROCE, and ROE) for commercial banks over the course of the research period. According to the findings of the study, regulatory authorities should implement severe regulations in order to prevent the ongoing rise in non-performing assets (NPA) of banks. This will protect the money of Indian taxpayers from being lost.

### **References:**

Rasmi Rekha Bhuyan and Dr Ashok Kumar Rath,

- "Management Perspective of Nonperforming Assets: A Challenge for Indian Banking Sector in the Post Economic Reform Era", The Orissa Journal of commerce, July 2013, Vol-34, PP-93-107, ISSN-0974-8482.
- ❖ Yeruva Priyanka and Ch. Rajesh Kumar (2019), "Non-performing Assets of Commercial Banks and its Recovery in India", Complexity International Journal, Volume 23, Issue 2, July-August 2019, ISSN Online: 1320-0682.
- Mr Amit Kumar (2014), "Non-Performing Assets: A Challenge before Banking Sector in India", International Journal of Innovative Research and Practices Vol.2, Issue 2, February 2014 ISSN 2321-2926, PN:6-13.
- ❖ Sudin Bag and Sajijul Islam (2017), "Non-Performing Assets A Biggest Challenge in Banking Sector- Comparative Study Between Indian and Bangladesh Banking Sector", ICTACT JOURNAL ON MANAGEMENT STUDIES, NOVEMBER 2017, VOLUME: 03, ISSUE: 04, ISSN: 2395-1664 (ONLINE).
- Divya Jain and Ravindra Kumar Saini (2015), "Non-Performing

Assets Recovery Channel: An Assessment of Securitization Act 2002", International Journal of Trade and Commerce-IIARTC July-December 2015, Volume 4, No. 2 pp. 358-365 ISSN-2277-5811 (Print), 2278-9065 (Online), PN:358-365.

❖ Priyanka Gupta and Surender Kumar (2019), "Non-Performing Assets: A Serious Challenge to Indian Banks", International Research Journal of Management Sociology & Humanity (IRJMSH), Vol 10 Issue 5 [Year 2019] ISSN 2277 – 9809 (Online) 2348–9359 (Print). PN:439-447.

Manoj Kumar Sahoo and Dr. Muralidhar Majhi (2020), "The Recovery Management System of NPAs-A Case study of Commercial Banks in India", Parishodh Journal, ISSN NO:2347-6648, Volume IX, Issue III, March/2020, PN: 5065-5076.